

NS Branes in Type I Theory

Julie D. Blum

*Department of Physics, University of California at San Diego
9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093 USA*

Abstract

We consider novel nonperturbative effects of type I theories compactified on singular ALE spaces obtained by adding NS branes. Such effects include a description of small E_8 instantons at singularities.

1. Introduction

This brief note proposes that noncompact type I orbifolds can be modified by the addition of NS branes. Our focus here will be on orbifold compactifications of type I theory to six dimensions with $N = 1$ supersymmetry. In Ref. [1] spacetime anomaly considerations were used to constrain the form of low energy six-dimensional theories resulting from small $Spin(32)/\mathbf{Z}_2$ (heterotic) instantons at $\mathbf{C}^2/\mathbf{Z}_N$ singularities [2] and to conjecture phase transitions involving extra tensors. The $N=2$ case had previously been worked out in F theory [3]. In Ref. [4] exactly these theories were derived in string theory as type I orbifolds using orientifold consistency conditions as well as tadpole constraints. Related theories (including $Spin(32)/\mathbf{Z}_2$ instantons on nonabelian ALE spaces) were derived in Refs. [5][6]. The spacetime anomalies were derived in a simple way from the tadpoles. That these theories could make sense at higher energies could be seen from the tadpoles through such effects as the anomaly inflow mechanism. It is satisfying that perturbative string theory gives a microscopic description of such spacetime phenomena.

We will also demonstrate that small E_8 instanton (tensionless string) theories [7][8] on noncompact spaces can be understood via orientifolds of type IIB discussed in [1][4]. One of the subtleties of the string theory construction allows for NS branes to be added to type I theories at ALE singularities to obtain, for example, the theories of small E_8 instantons at $\mathbf{Z}_N$ singularities found by [6] and discussed further by [9]. Before I was able to obtain a microscopic (string theory) derivation of the twisted charge cancellation condition for the theories with NS branes, two papers [10][11] appeared which engineered some of these theories with branes.

2. Theories with NS branes

For type IIB theory on a smooth manifold, the operation Ω or worldsheet parity projects out the NS-NS antisymmetric tensor while retaining the R-R antisymmetric tensor. The S-duality symmetry of IIB which exchanges these two tensors as well as inverting the coupling is not manifest in the perturbative orientifold. We are allowed to add D5 branes but not NS5 branes to the perturbative orientifold. Fundamental type I strings and D strings are very different objects perturbatively. We know, however, that S-duality interchanges type I and the $SO(32)$ heterotic theories. We also know that the zero size heterotic instanton is a heterotic NS brane [12] and that the D string of type I is equivalent to the fundamental heterotic string [13]. Thus, in some sense, the S-duality subgroup of

the type IIB $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ symmetry is unbroken by the orientifold. These are the only vacuums that we know how to describe in perturbative string theory, but could there be other supersymmetric vacuums related by IIB $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ perhaps describable in matrix theory similarly to [14]?

In [4][5] it was found that a nonstandard projection of worldsheet parity (Ω) was required for some twisted sectors of the ALE orientifold. In such sectors the NS-NS antisymmetric tensor is not projected out, but the R-R antisymmetric tensor is projected out. In the correspondence between the discrete subgroups of $SU(2)$ and the extended Dynkin diagrams of the ADE groups, the nodes of the diagram represent conjugacy classes or twisted sectors. The unusual projection occurred in self-conjugate sectors other than the untwisted sector (for cases with vector structure) and in half of the sectors that were conjugate to another sector. Associated with the twisted sector where the unusual projection occurs is a two-cycle on which a kind of discrete torsion [15] acts reversing the usual projection. Because of this fact, the cycle can act as a source for the NS-NS field strength—we can add NS branes to the orientifold.

How should we describe such theories perturbatively? In the general case these theories are likely to be quite complicated since there will be both D and NS branes. Perturbative string theory may be inadequate here, and considerations of [14][16] are perhaps applicable. There is, however, a special case where a string theory description may be viable. Some of the A theories discussed in [1][4] have no enhanced gauge symmetry or D branes. This is not true for the D and E cases on the Coulomb branch [5]. In such a case, it may be possible to relate vacuums with extra NS branes to ones with D branes by S-duality. I have not succeeded in finding the precise relation. In [1][4][5] the theories of D branes at ALE singularities were described by extended Dynkin diagrams. Since the NS-NS charge is always projected out in the untwisted sector, we expect that these theories should be described by regular Dynkin diagrams. We will give an example in our discussion of small E_8 instantons at $\mathbf{Z}_N$ singularities. One would expect that worldsheet parity is exchanged with left-handed fermion number $((-1)^{F_L})$. Since $(-1)^{F_L}$ projects out either the vector or its supersymmetric partner, supersymmetry requires that NS branes only be associated with cycles that are related by complex conjugation to another cycle. Thus, the N^{th} cycle for the $\mathbf{Z}_{2N}$ case has no NS branes. It is important to note that these theories with NS branes only make sense in the noncompact case where the total number of NS branes is unconstrained. The requirement to cancel twisted NS-NS charge possibly allows for more general configurations of NS branes that violate supersymmetry.

3. Small E_8 Theories

The theories to be discussed here are those of $SO(32)$ heterotic instantons on a noncompact orbifold $\mathbf{C}^2/\mathbf{Z}_{2N}$ with a flat connection at infinity breaking $SO(32)$ to $SO(16) \times SO(16)$. When gravity is decoupled, the resulting theories are $N = 1$ gauge theories in six dimensions. The theory of the instanton when it shrinks to zero size [17] can be understood in type I perturbation theory. The ninebrane gauge group $SO(32)$ is broken to $SO(16) \times SO(16)$ by a $\mathbf{Z}_2 \in \mathbf{Z}_{2N}$ action on the Chan-Paton factors. A Wilson line in the vector representation of $SO(32)$ has 16 1's and 16 -1 's. Small $SO(32)$ instantons at the singularity are described by fivebranes. Thus, one performs a $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_{2N}$ orientifold of type IIB where the $\mathbf{Z}_2$ is worldsheet parity. Consistency conditions and tadpole anomalies constrain the small instanton gauge group to be

$$Sp(K)_1 \times U(2K)^{N-1} \times Sp(K)_2 \quad (3.1)$$

with hypermultiplets $\frac{1}{2}(16, 2K)_1 \oplus \frac{1}{2}(16, 2K)_2$ and bifundamentals in adjoining gauge groups. The Fayet-Iliopoulos mechanism reduces the $U(K)$'s to $SU(K)$'s. One also obtains N extra tensor multiplets and $N - 1$ hypermultiplets from twisted sectors. The $N = 1$ supergravity as well as an extra tensor multiplet and some extra hypermultiplets are obtained from the untwisted sector. Irreducible gauge anomalies vanish in these theories, and other anomalies are cancelled through the Green-Schwarz and anomaly inflow mechanisms.

If we consider the case $K = 0$, index theorems (see [5]) say that the instanton number equals $2N$ which is the Euler characteristic of the orbifold. There is then no enhanced gauge symmetry, and no fivebranes can travel away from the singularity. The Coulomb branch with extra tensors looks the same as that of N small E_8 instantons described by M theory fivebranes on $S^1/\mathbf{Z}_2 \times R^4$ with one missing hypermultiplet that can be interpreted as an overall center of mass related to fixing the position of the ALE singularity. As discussed in [9] , the N tensor multiplets live in the $Sp(N)$ Coxeter box just like the small E_8 instantons. The dimension of the Higgs branch describing large instantons and a blown up orbifold is $30N - 1$. If we consider these instantons to be SO instantons, the classical theory on the whole ALE space makes no sense for zero size instantons (leads to anomalies). Thus, we conjecture that these standardly embedded theories actually describe N E_8 instantons with a missing center of mass mode and scale sizes related to blow up modes. Another argument is the following. In [18] I described sixbranes compactified on an orientifold of type IIA $T^5 \times S^1/\mathbf{Z}_2$. By adding N type IIA NS branes and decompactifying T^5 we can

obtain the theories (3.1). If we now remove the sixbranes and do an S-duality, (interchange $S^1/\mathbf{Z}_2$ and the M theory S^1) we obtain the theory of N small E_8 instantons. I have not worked out in detail the subtleties of a T-duality map of these two configurations.

Using our idea for adding NS branes to type I orbifolds, we conjecture that small E_8 instantons at $\mathbf{Z}_k$ singularities can be described by adding NS branes to the above description of small E_8 instantons. As conjectured by [12] for $\mathbf{Z}_2$ and shown for general $\mathbf{Z}_k$ by [19], type II theories on A_n ALE spaces transform under T-duality into $n + 1$ NS branes. That is the motivation for this conjecture. As shown by [6] the enhanced gauge symmetry obtained from N small E_8 instantons at a $\mathbf{Z}_k$ singularity is

$$\prod U(v_i) \tag{3.2}$$

where i runs from 1 to N , $v_i = i$ for $i < k$, $v_i = k$ for $k \leq i \leq N - k$, and $v_i = N - i$ for $N - k < i \leq N$. The matter includes bifundamentals and an extra fundamental of $U(v_k)$ and $U(v_{N-k})$ as shown by [9]. Again the Fayet-Iliopoulos mechanism reduces $U(v_i)$ to $SU(v_i)$. As we have discussed in the previous section, we expect that the theories with NS branes (no D branes) should be described by regular Dynkin diagrams. In fact the equation describing N small E_8 instantons at a $\mathbf{Z}_k$ singularity is the following.

$$C_{ij}v_j = \delta_{i,k} + \delta_{i,N-k} + \delta_{i,2N-k} + \delta_{i,N+k} - 2\delta_{i,N} \tag{3.3}$$

Here, C_{ij} is the Cartan matrix of $SU(2N)$ and v_j is the number of NS branes on the j^{th} cycle, and this equation describes the gauge theory in the usual way.

In [11] it was noted that these particular theories agree with ones that were obtained in [1][4]. These theories are described in section 4.1 of [4]. In the notation used there $K = 0$, $P = N$, $W_0 = W_N = 7$, and $W_k = W_{N-k} = W_{N+k} = W_{2N-k} = 1$. For $K = 0$ this theory is also described by the equation (3.3) and is a good candidate for the S-dual of the theory with NS branes. As in the above discussion, considering this theory to describe SO instantons leads to anomalies everywhere on the ALE space for zero size instantons so that arguments of [20][21] suggest that the symmetry is enhanced to $E_8 \times E_8$. The precise action of S-duality is far from obvious to me.

As we previously mentioned adding NS branes to the D and E singularities is not likely to have a perturbative string description because these singularities always have D branes on the Coulomb branch. We can also consider the above E_8 theories with D branes in addition to NS branes. As shown in [22] one can obtain exceptional groups from this

configuration through multipronged string junctions. This would perhaps be a starting point for reproducing the D and E theories of [6] in string theory.

I thank K. Intriligator for useful discussions and encouragement. I was partially supported by NSF grant PHY-9513835.

References

- [1] K. Intriligator, Nucl. Phys. **B496** (1997) 177, hep-th/9702038.
- [2] M. Douglas and G. Moore, hep-th/9603167.
- [3] P. Aspinwall, Nucl. Phys. **B496** (1997) 149, hep-th/9612108.
- [4] J. Blum and K. Intriligator, Nucl. Phys. **B506** (1997) 199, hep-th/9705030.
- [5] J. Blum and K. Intriligator, Nucl. Phys. **B506** (1997) 223, hep-th/9705044.
- [6] P. Aspinwall and D. Morrison, Nucl. Phys. **B503** (1997) 533, hep-th/9705104.
- [7] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B471** (1996) 121, hep-th/9603003.
- [8] A. Hananay and Ori Ganor, Nucl. Phys. **B474** (1996) 122, hep-th/9602120.
- [9] K. Intriligator, hep-th/9708117.
- [10] I. Brunner and A. Karch, hep-th/9712143.
- [11] A. Hananay and Alberto Zaffaroni, hep-th/9712145.
- [12] E. Witten, hep-th/9507121.
- [13] A. Dabholkar, Phys. Lett. **B357** (1995) 307, hep-th/9506160;
C. Hull, Phys. Lett. **B357** (1995) 545, hep-th/9506164;
J. Polchinski and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 525, hep-th/9510169.
- [14] A. Connes, M. Douglas, and A. Schwarz, hep-th/9711162.
- [15] C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. **B273** (1986) 592.
- [16] O. Aharony, M. Berkooz, and N. Seiberg, hep-th/9712117.
- [17] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 541, hep-th/9511030.
- [18] J. D. Blum, Nucl. Phys. **B507** (1997) 245, hep-th/9702084.
- [19] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. **B463** (1996) 55, hep-th/9511164.
- [20] J. Polchinski, S. Chaudhuri, and C. Johnson, hep-th/9602052;
J. Polchinski, hep-th/9611050.
- [21] N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. **B388** (1996) 753, hep-th/9608111.
- [22] M. Gaberdiel and B. Zwiebach, hep-th/9709013.